IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

FRIDAY, THE 19TH JANUARY 2007 / 29TH PAUSHA 1928

Con.Case(C).No. 1392 of 2006(S)

---

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.29153/2005 Dated 17/10/2005

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN THE WRIT PETITION:

---

P. PAPPACHAN, AGED 56 YEARS,
S/O. GEEVARGHESE PAPPI, SANTHOSH BHAVAN,
MUNNALAM, ADOOR P.O., PATHANAMTHITTA.
(RETIRED ROAD WORKER GRADE II, PWD ROAD SECTIOIN,
ADOOR).

BY ADV. SRI.S.SUBHASH CHAND

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENT NO.4 IN THE WRIT PETITION:

---

V. RAVEENDRAN, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
(FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A & E), OFFICE OF THE
ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, KERALA,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SHRI. M.P. PRAKASH

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**K.K.DENESAN, J.**

---

**Cont.Case No. 1392 OF 2006**

---

**Dated this the 19th January, 2007.**

**JUDGMENT**

Annexures 1, 2 and 3 produced along with the additional affidavit filed by the respondent can be taken as due compliance of the directions in the judgment. Counsel for the petitioner submits that reply to Annexure 1 as contained in Annexure 2 and the consequential order passed as Annexure 3 referred to above do not reflect the correct legal position. This submission is recorded.

2. Without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to challenge those orders as he would consider offending, the contempt of court case is closed.

**K.K.DENESAN**
**Judge**

jj